

January 25, 2002

Ms. Ruth Reyes Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza El Paso, Texas 79901-1196

OR2002-0360

Dear Ms. Reyes:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 158056.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for records related to bids submitted by KPMG Consulting L.L.C. ("KPMG"), eVerge Group ("eVerge"), and J.D. Edwards World Solutions Company ("J.D. Edwards") in response to the city's bid number 2001-017. You indicate that the city has released some of the requested information. However, you claim that the release of some of the requested information may implicate the proprietary rights of the interested third parties—KPMG, eVerge, and J.D. Edwards. Accordingly, you indicate that you notified these third parties of the request for their information pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code. Furthermore, you contend that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We begin by noting that you have not fully complied with section 552.301 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.301(e), a governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving an open records request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. You did not, however, submit to this office a copy of the written request for information.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to submit to this office the information required in section 552.301(e) results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is

presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.--Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). As of the date of this ruling, this office has received no arguments from any of the third parties in support of withholding the requested information. Therefore, none of the third parties has provided a compelling reason for withholding the submitted information. See Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Nevertheless, you raise section 552.137 as an exception to disclosure. Because section 552.137 is designed to protect the interests of third parties, it can provide a compelling reason for overcoming the presumption of openness. See Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Therefore, we will address your section 552.137 argument.

Section 552.137 provides that "[a]n e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Public Information Act]." Therefore, unless the relevant individuals have affirmatively consented to the release of their e-mail addresses, the city must withhold the e-mail addresses in the submitted information that we have marked under section 552.137. The city must release the remainder of the submitted information.

We note that some of the information you must release may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the

full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Natturn E Rounden

Nathan E. Bowden

Assistant Attorney General

Open Records Division

NEB/sdk

Ref: ID# 158056

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark P. DeSantis
IBM Corporation
733 Megan Court
Westmont, Illinois 60559
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert F. Glickert
Managing Director
KPMG Consulting
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Nancy J. Metzger
Director, Sales and Marketing, Public Service
J.D. Edwards World Solutions Company
One Technology Way
Denver, Colorado 80237
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Esteban Neely President eVerge Group 2805 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 240 Plano, Texas 75025 (w/o enclosures)